

Message

---

**From:** Lindstrom, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=04BF7CF26AA44CE29763FBC1C1B2338E-LINDSTROM, ANDREW]  
**Sent:** 4/5/2017 1:05:19 PM  
**To:** Libelo, Laurence [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=da33642e6438407daf4c35afe870046b-Libelo, Laurence]

Laurence,

I'm reading this PMN document about the consent order for the GenX substances and I get to this:

Releases to the environment were estimated to water and to air (fugitive) and to air via incineration. Based on submitter information, the Company currently collects the waste containing the PMN substances and sends the waste to an off-site RCRA incinerator. In the future, the Company intends to develop and use methods to recapture and/or recycle the substances, but is not now doing so. EPA requires in the attached Consent Order that the substances be recovered, recycled and/or destroyed at levels achieving 99% efficiency. EPA will require that the Company directly sell the substances only to customers, if any, that achieve comparable recovery or destruction.

So this is a consent order - right? So they agreed to do this.

Is there or has there ever been a process to confirm that GenX emissions are in fact reduced to 99% efficiency?

Is the GenX that we are measuring in Ohio surface water downwind of the plant coming from the time before they instituted the 99% efficiency controls or is it just from the fact that 99% still allows a substantial amount (1%) to be emitted?

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I'm guessing this is the first time anyone has checked.

Thank you,

Amndy